

REMARKS

The drawings are objected to because of the double inclusion of reference number 14 in the specification description. The specification, specifically paragraph 032, is amended, per the attached Submission, to overcome the noted informalities contained therein. New Replacement Sheets of formal drawing is not believed necessary as reference number 14 refers only to the bottom of the metal plate 7 therein. If any further amendment to the drawings is believed necessary, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned representative of the Applicant to discuss the same.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by Maceyka `538. The Applicant acknowledges and respectfully traverses the raised anticipatory rejection in view of the above amendments and following remarks.

As the Examiner has indicated, claim 2 has been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In accordance with this indication the subject matter of claim 2 has been incorporated into claim 1 and thus all the claims 1 and 3-5 are believed allowable.

If any further amendment to this application is believed necessary to advance prosecution and place this case in allowable form, the Examiner is courteously solicited to contact the undersigned representative of the Applicant to discuss the same.

With respect to the Information Disclosure Statement, the Examiner indicates that the Information Disclosure Statement filed April 14, 2004 fails to comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.98(a)(2). The Applicant hereby resubmits these references along with a detailed Information Disclosure Statements. The Applicant believes that a legible copy of each reference is attached thereto and that the IDS lists the publication and/or copyright date of each reference. The exception being the cite no. C16 which the Applicant believes to be prior art to the present application as

well. also indicates that a copy of each of the listed references was also submitted. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests consideration of these timely submitted references before issuance of a Notice of Allowance in the present application. As these documents were believed properly and timely submitted, according to the Applicant's file and record, the Applicant believes these documents should be considered and respectfully requests the Examiner to consider the same.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the raised objections and rejections should be withdrawn at this time. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the raised rejection(s) should be withdrawn and this application is now placed in a condition for allowance. Action to that end, in the form of an early Notice of Allowance, is courteously solicited by the Applicant at this time.

In the event that there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge the same or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account (Account No. 04-0213).

Respectfully submitted,



Scott A. Daniels, Reg. No. 42,462
Customer No. 020210
Davis & Bujold, P.L.L.C.
112 Pleasant Street
Concord, NH 03301-2931
Telephone 603-226-7490
Facsimile 603-226-7499
E-mail: patent@davisandbujold.com